

Summary of Points – June 5, 2018

- Concerns about the appointment process and representation of FN Commissioners and Panel members
 - Need to recognize what is outlined in UNDRIP (e.g. article 18 &19) and how we move forward with implementation
 - Need for more clarity on how individual FN interests along the Fraser River tributaries are represented by the Panel.
- Conservation is a priority and should be carried through in the negotiations
 - Protecting weaker stocks in the mix and preventing overharvesting of these weaker stocks
 - Reliance on technical committee analysis and data
 - Alaska Catch DNA work distinguishes Northern vs Southern bound sockeye

Summary of Points – June 5, 2018

- Clarity around Canada's FSC fisheries and Treaty exemption
 - Panel does not have control over FSC and Treaty
 - Panel decisions directly impact FSC fisheries.
- Concern about state of the Fraser sockeye stocks recently identified in the COWEWIC Assessment
 - What has contributed to these stocks being identified as Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern?
- Need further clarity around Canada's Food, Social and Ceremonial (FSC) fisheries and the Treaty's Aboriginal exemption.
- Concerns about the Chinook exploitation rates and Mark Selective Fisheries.